

[return to science updates](#)

A Reply to Michael Shermer and *UnScientific American*



by Miles Mathis

May 2, 2018

After my paper on the Thunderbolts, I got around to reading Shermer's [2015 article](#) at *UnScientific American*. In it, he blithely explains to us the difference between science and pseudoscience. I hadn't relished reading it because I already know who Shermer is. He is a pretend skeptic hired by Intel to attack everything that threatens the mainstream. He is a total phony who doesn't know anything about science or anything else. His articles are always extremely light-footed and full of air, and he is as oily as a teenager's face.

Since I just [outed the Thunderbolts](#) as controlled opposition, working clandestinely with Shermer, you can be sure this paper wasn't written to defend them. It is to attack directly *UnScientific American*, which—like every other magazine on the stand—is now a transparent front for our stinking plutocracy. It hasn't published a shred of real science in decades, and now specializes in this revolting propaganda that Shermer majored in.

To show that, I will answer of couple of Shermer's questions, then go from there. He says he has friends at the Jet Propulsion Lab, which is doubtful. This sort of person doesn't have a friend in the world. He only has fellow agents and hirelings. I don't doubt that some of them are encamped at JPL, but that is another question. Anyway, he says the boys at JPL use both Newton's equations and Einstein's equations to come up with spacecraft flight paths. He asked the Thunderbolts whether they can come up with better flight paths. They said no. He should ask me, because I can. I would remind you first that, using these equations of Newton and Einstein, [the rocket men missed the Moon](#) many times in the late 50s and early 60s. That is admitted in the mainstream and is commonly known. The Moon is very close, as you know, and the equations haven't changed since the 1950s. Turns out, these equations were off by around 20%, which is a big miss. They tried to blame it on propellants not fully

understood, but there was and is no real indication of that. Since then, these rocket men have crashed hundreds of craft, some of them major like the Challenger. They have killed countless astronauts and wrecked billions of dollars of hardware. Funny that Shermer doesn't remember that. Many other craft were lost in space when equations failed and corrections to the craft also failed. Shermer also forgets to mention that all these craft are installed with governors, which make corrections when the equations fail—which they always and often do. I guess none of his friends at JPL are actual engineers, and so they haven't told him that. Maybe they are just desk jockies, writing press reports like him.

I have redone all the equations of celestial mechanics, orbits, and planetary influences, and my Unified Field Equations—which include charge—can solve multi-body problems with no chaos or remaining inequalities. I have shown why the rockets in the 50s didn't hit the Moon, why the [Lagrange points](#) are in the wrong place, and hundreds of other things. I did this by pulling apart Newton's and Einstein's equations line-by-line, taking them apart like a clock and putting them back together with the charge inside them highlighted and corrected. They now keep time much better than they did. These equations explain the Galactic Rotation Problem as well, they have solved Bode's Law, they explain axial tilt and eccentricity in a very simple manner, and on and on. These papers are not buried on the internet. [Many of them are already viral](#), and have been for years, so JPL probably already knows about them. For instance, the [Galactic Rotation paper](#)—which includes Unified Field math—ranks number two at Google on that topic, ahead of PhysicsWorld, Space.com, EarthSky.org, Universetoday.com, ArsTechnica, Forbes, Harvard, Berkeley, physics.stackexchange, quora, phys.org, wikiwand, Learner.org, and of course ScientificAmerican. My paper on [C-orbit Asteroids](#)—which tracks orbiting asteroids—is ranked even higher. It is ranked 1st out of 672,000 results, ahead of Wikipedia. If I can write better equations for asteroids, you can be sure I can write better equations for spacecraft. One of my major corrections to Newton is found in [my paper Newton's Lemmae](#), which is ranked 5th, ahead of Jstor, mathforum, Springer, arxiv, archive, and academia.edu. On a search for Unified Field Equation, [my lead paper](#) comes up 18th. My paper is the only one in that search that takes you to a working UFE. A general search on Bode's law [pulls up my paper at number 11](#). At #16 is [Perihelion Precession of Mercury](#)—which is an important correction to Einstein, resolving a known 4% error. Many other papers have gone viral, ranking at #1, including [Cosmic Mass Deficit](#), [Canada's Gravity Deficit](#), [Hollow Neon Atoms](#), [Equatorial Anomaly](#), and [All Known Physics Equation](#). So if the guys at JPL aren't reading my papers, they aren't keeping up. Everyone else is.

Shermer then mentions GPS, and asks the Thunderbolts if the electrical universe offers any practical applications. Again, they say no, which is curious. Even they should say yes. But that is their problem. I would answer a BIG yes, since not only is correcting faulty spacecraft a practical application, my equations correct thousands of other important things, which will not only save lives but give us a far different world in the near future. Tesla said “the future belongs to me” and I echo that. [My paper on NMR](#) is already being used in the field to understand the mechanics of that process better. My [tidal theory](#) allows for a better understanding and therefore a better prediction of tides. My [core and plate theory](#) allows us to understand and track the Earth's heat better, which will allow us to better predict and react to Earthquakes—among many other things. [My paper on the Solar cycles](#) gives us a much better understanding of that, allowing us to predict those by tracking the four outer planets. Since Solar cycles drive everything here on Earth, including food crops, you can see how important that is. [My analysis of photon theory](#) allows for many applications, not the least of which is a better understanding of why and how the body uses photons. This will soon lead to enhanced light therapy for health and healing. But perhaps the greatest practical application will come from a better understanding of the atomic nucleus, which [I am the first to successfully diagram](#). Again, my diagrams are already being used in the field in many applications, although I am not being given any credit. We are in the early stages of a mass stealing—which doesn't really bother me. Knowledge is meant to be

used, and someday maybe these people will deign to thank me. Shermer might ask me what that has to do with electricity, which would just show his ignorance. The entire import of my nuclear diagrams is that they show the nucleus is a charge channeler.

And that is just the tip of the iceberg. My theories and equations spin out into literally thousands of important applications, and some of those are already being pursued by the mainstream as they steal and borrow my ideas. I know this from inside information, but don't expect anyone to confirm it (except maybe anonymously). As I said, the mainstream is controlled by huge amounts of top-down fear, and my greatest allies in academia have to remain hidden for fear of being immediately fired. What happened to Stephen Crothers (see below) could happen to them, and they know it.

Shermer will no doubt reply that all that is speculative. Why am I not creating these things now myself? Do you really want an answer to that? Because I am being stifled and suppressed on purpose. These advances are too revolutionary for most, and they threaten the stability of the financial *status quo*. So I am currently funded and promoted to the tune of \$0. Those stealing my ideas are trying to get along without me, because they rightly suppose my arrival would jeopardize any government funding they have. The upper levels of physics and science hate me as a rival, so they are doing everything they can to bury me. That isn't some sob story I made up to suit myself, it is what is actually happening.

Next is where Shermer gets around to calling Stephen Crothers a “self-taught” mathematician. That is an outright lie. Crothers came within a whisker of getting a PhD in physics in Australia, having completed his thesis but not being able to present it only because his teachers wiggged out. At the last minute they figured out it went against the mainstream dogma, so they killed it. That's your open science right there. That's your peer review in a nutshell. Don't discover anything new or disagree with those in the field about anything, because if you do you will be silenced. If you don't tow the party line, you can't get the degree, and if you don't have the degree you aren't allowed to have an opinion. They have told me that directly. It is called gatekeeping, and the gates have gotten much higher in the past century. Unfortunately for them, I climbed over anyway. So did Crothers. He is causing them a lot of grief, which is why they stoop to attacking him with lies instead of answering the points in his papers. That isn't science, it is anti-science. It is so cowardly and dishonest it passes belief, but it is what these people do. Shermer isn't the only one. He is joined by everyone at the top of all fields and by all the worthless slime in publishing—as we see on a monthly basis at *UnScientific American* and all the other propaganda rags.

Amazingly, Shermer closes his short and content-free article by quoting Tom Wolfe, another Intel agent pushing propaganda. I have outed Wolfe quite thoroughly [here](#), and I even mentioned him in my paper on the Thunderbolts, before I read Shermer's piece. *The Electric Kool-Aid Acid Test*—which Shermer quotes from—is perhaps Wolfe's most nauseating piece of extended agit-prop, although it is hard to choose in that regard. So it is appropriate Shermer quoted from it. We often see this, with agents quoting previous agents: it is what they know. In fact, it is about *all* they know. It's what they learn in agent-academy, at at Langley or wherever. Here is the quote: “You're either on the bus or off the bus”. Shermer says that the Thunderbolts aren't just wrong, they aren't even on the bus. Well, since that bus is going nowhere but off the hubris cliff, the Thunderbolts will be glad to off it in the near future. Like the Titanic, very soon everyone will be scrambling to get off the mainstream bus, and Shermer and the other rats will be the only ones left. I am the iceberg, and I was struck about 15 years ago. The mainstream has been sinking ever since, and the water is rising evermore quickly. So if you are still on the bus, I recommend you head for the door right now. I am steering a much more seaworthy vessel than a bus, so if you wish to stay afloat I recommend you join me. But don't wait too long. There are a

limited number of lifevests, and if you continue to stall I may refuse to toss you one out of pure spite.
I will let you join Shermer in Davy Jones' locker room.